THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.6187 of 2006

28.3.2006

Between:

Raja Nagar Welfare Association,

Rep. By its President, K.Ramakrishna

… Petitioner

AND

The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad

And others

… Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.6187 of 2006

ORDER:

Raja Nagar Welfare Association, which is allegedly a registered body, purports to espouse the cause of 400 persons, who are members of the association. It is alleged that the members of the petitioner association purchased small extents of house sites admeasuring 100 Sq. yards each comprised in survey Nos.152/1, 153/1B and 154/1 at Kundanpally village of Keesara Mandal in Ranga Reddy District from B.Ramesh and K.Buchi Ramulu, who divided the land admeasuring Acs.10.07 guntas in the said survey numbers into 480 house sites and sold away to the members. It is also alleged that when the land was purchased, the members were not aware that the land is surplus agricultural land declared as such under A.P. Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Act, 1973. It is, however, contended that the land was allotted to landless poor persons, who sold the land to the members of the association and, therefore, there is no legal bar for the sale. In spite of the same, it is alleged that the Mandal Revenue Officer (MRO), Keesara Mandal visited the site on 21.3.2006 and threatened the members that they would dispossess within a period of two days. Apprehending coercive steps, present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned Counsel for petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General). At the stage of admission itself, after getting instructions, learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that the writ petition is filed on mere apprehension and that the MRO never visited the land. He also points out that the

writ petition filed by the association on behalf of 400 members is not maintainable and the association as such is not aggrieved. This Court finds force in both the submissions made by the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed, as the same is not filed by the persons actually aggrieved and is also filed on a factual foundation, which is not sound. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO,J**)

March 28, 2006.

YS